IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O. O. C. J.

WRIT PETITION (LDG) NO.1591 OF 2007

Ghansham Narayandas Chhabada & Anr. ...Petitioners.
Vs.
State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents.

....

Mr. P.K. Dhakephalkar i/b Mr. N.N. Shimpi for the Petitioners.
Ms. Geeta Shastri, AGP for the Respondents.

.....

CORAM : SWATANTER KUMAR, C.J. &
DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J.

July 30, 2007.

P.C. :

Learned Counsel for the Respondent-State submits that the Competent Authority, the State Government, has already considered the claim of the Petitioners. However, though the Petitioners were found to be eligible and entitled to give admissions, the name of the Petitioner-College was not included, which would be reflected in CAP II. However, it is stated that they should be directed to deposit advertisement expenses to the extent of Rs. 1 lakh. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioners submits that in view of the statement made by the Respondent-State, nothing survives in the petition. The Respondent-State shall abide by the statement and

shall include the name of the Petitioners in CAP-II. The Writ Petition is disposed of.

CHIEF JUSTICE

Dr.D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, J.